

ERAB'S 2ND REPORT "REALISING THE NEW RENAISSANCE"

REQUESTED RESPONSE BY DR. AINOMAIJA HAARLA PRESIDENT AND CEO, TECHNOLOGY ACADEMY FINLAND BRUSSELS, 20TH OCTOBER 2010



TECHNOLOGY ACADEMY FINLAND

SUPPORTING HUMANE TECHNOLOGY

- Is an independent foundation which works closely together with Finnish enterprises, all five technical science universities of the country, several ministries and research institutes
- Supports scientific research and innovation which are based on humane values and have a
 positive impact on quality of life of many all over the world
- Promotes Finland as a high-tech country by strengthening and bringing together domestic and international networks and thus pursues to improve Finland's competitiveness
- Awards the biennial Millennium Technology Prize worth 1M € and grants other rewards and scholarships
- Demystifies technology and actively participates in public discussion about its significance
- With youth activities, encourages children and teenagers to study mathematics, natural sciences and technology and helps young people to start a career in these fields
- TAF's actions reflect the principles of social corporate responsibility



GENERAL COMMENTS ON THE REPORT

Sense of urgency

Aim towards more concretism is good, implementation is the key.

We need to work with other regions of the world as they are not only

following the development of ERA but they are advancing quickly

themselves and **are hungry** to reach their own ambitious goals. (I & C)

Humanistic sciences should be more integrated with technological

solutions – simply to improve the user interface.

All the relevant resources must be mobilised to strengthen the competitivenes of EU; **The focus on young researchers and scientists!**

UT

Where are the end-users and consumers with their future demands, in and outside Europe? AND What are the incentives?



COMMENTS ON RECOMMENDATIONS FOR IMMEDIATE ACTIONS (#1-3)

e need to establish a Europe-wide patent system as soon as possible –

it is the precondition for the Open Innovation Charter.

Q: WHAT TO DO WITH EXCESS IDEAS? IS THERE ANY PROCESS?

pen innovation approach in Europe is challenging: we need some well communicated examples from the industry to promote this approach. (#1)

here is a large untapped potential in the public sector purchasing to

drive innovation indeed - yes, it should be used as stimulus to foster

European wide RDI but not on the cost of value creation! (#2)

ncreased focus and concentrationof the RDI funding - this is good news,

yes, with better focus we can get more force and reach real results. (#3)



COMMENTS ON RECOMMENDATIONS FOR IMMEDIATE AND MEDIUM TERM ACTIONS(#4-6)

ow to increase the visibility of science and innovation, gain trust

and strengthen the cooperation between various stakeholders?

awarding the title of 'the Europena Capital/Region' of RDI – yes, but

this is not enough. **We should start much earlier** by influencing

5



COMMENTS ON RECOMMENDATIONS FOR MEDIUM-TERM ACTIONS (#7-9)

- Concentrate and streamline all R&D funding: YES by all measures! Could results-based funding be taken into use in other than high risk projects? High risk projects should be encouraged more. Funds from the common agriculture policy could well be used more i.e. for water and food related projects. (#7)
- Foster an acceptable degree of risk-taking (with high impact) and also excellence in all the RDI programmes I fully support this proposition. We should get out of the mind-set of "peer-reviewed safe science". (#8)
- Create a European venture capital fund capable of investing in early-stage "proof of concept" and business development prior to commercial investment. – YES! (#9)



<u>COMMENTS</u> ON RECOMMENDATIONS FOR LONGER-TERM ACTIONS (#10)

 Create result and risk oriented funding as a dominant criterion – yes, the sooner the better! (#10)

It is all about competitiveness.

Thank You!

Ainomaija Haarla, D.Sc. (Tech.), MBA
President and CEO
Technology Academy Finland
ainomaija.haarla@technologyacademy.fi
+358 40 716 0703

7